

performance period, analyze what employees have achieved relative to the desired outcomes set out in their performance and learning plans, and handle the subject of performance pay increases.

AE&G's current performance recognition (pay) plan supports and reinforces the desired performance for both the organization and the employee as follows:

- *Performance pay.* This compensation for employees links pay with individual performance. It consists of a market-driven base pay and a market-driven increase system that provides the ability to address cost-of-living increases and a bonus based on achievement of department, individual, and team goals.
- *Special programs.* The company also has two recognition programs for outstanding performance: (1) Award of Excellence, which can be applied for and awarded to team leaders, and (2) Executive Excellence Recognition, which is a unique program that

recognizes teams that achieve measurable enhancements in the service they provide. Each of these programs requires an application process, and the award is determined by a committee.

Consider the performance management process (see Figure 11-2) as it is applied in implementing team-based systems. Then, please answer the following questions:

1. How is AE&G implementing each of the components of the performance management process?
2. Consider how AE&G assesses performance. Which aspects of the measurement system are appropriate and which are not, given its goal of enhancing team performance?
3. What additions or revisions should be made to each of the components of the performance management process to make the system more effective from a team performance point of view?

CASE STUDY 11-2

Team-Based Rewards for the State of Georgia

The state of Georgia's Department of Human Resources (DHR) updated its performance management system. The performance management process is used for all employees who are to receive performance evaluations. The following outlines the critical features of this system:

- *Manager training.* Prior to utilizing the system, all managers receive training in how the system works and how to implement each phase of the system.
- *Performance planning.* This is the first step of the performance management system process and is used to create the employee's performance plan. Developing a performance plan involves identifying job and

individual responsibilities and performance expectations. It is the primary responsibility of the manager to develop this plan using input from the employee that he or she may want included in the performance plan.

- *Performance coaching.* Coaching is the key supervisory activity during a performance period. It involves ongoing communication, both formal and informal, that motivates employees by letting them know where they stand in meeting expectations and carrying out responsibilities. The three steps to performance coaching are (1) observing performance; (2) providing regular performance feedback, based on information gathered through personal observation, team

input, and input from the employee; and (3) documenting performance.

- *Performance evaluation.* This phase culminates with a meeting of the evaluating supervisor and the employee to rate performance and discuss appropriate salary increases and developmental planning for the employee.
- *Performance development.* Supervisors should discuss performance development with each employee. A developmental plan *must* be developed for each employee who is rated “does not meet expectations” or “needs improvement” in the area of Employment Terms and Conditions. The structured approach of the developmental plan has three objectives (1) to enhance employee strengths, (2) to decrease employee areas that need improvements, and (3) to meet organizational and team needs.
- *Salary increase.* Salary increases are awarded annually to each eligible employee. To be eligible for a performance-based salary increase, employees must:
 - Receive an overall rating for Job and Individual Responsibilities of at least “met expectations.”
 - Receive an overall rating for Terms and Conditions of Employment of at least “needs improvement.”
 - Salary increases for each evaluation period for employees who “met or exceeded expectations” are restricted to the following guidelines:
 - ♦ An overall rating of “met expectations” will receive a standard increase, based on the amount identified for the evaluation period.

- ♦ An overall rating of “exceeded expectations” will receive a standard increase as noted above, along with a lump sum identified for the evaluation period.
- ♦ Each year, a specified amount of money is set aside for salary increases. Because funds are limited, the salary increase amounts may be reduced if the department exceeds the allocated funds. If the salary increase amounts must be reduced, the reduction percentage will be the same for all employees.
- ♦ Unless otherwise authorized, employees at or above pay grade are not eligible for a performance-based salary increase, and employees who are near the pay grade maximum will be granted an increase to the pay grade maximum only.

The performance management and reward system, as currently in place, focuses mainly on individual performance. But given the changing nature of work that is now team-based in many different units and departments, there is a need to revise the system so that it can accommodate team-based rewards. Please answer the following questions:

1. How would you revise the system to include team-based rewards?
2. What are some of the anticipated challenges in including a team-based reward component, given the nature of the organization and its customers?
3. Given the effectiveness of contingent pay plans for teams, what are your recommendations on how to implement this type of system in this particular organization?

Source: This case is based, in part, on information available online at <http://team.georgia.gov/performance/> Retrieval date: January, 2, 2018.

ENDNOTES

1. McDowell, T., Agarwal, D., Miller, D., Okamoto, T., & Page, T. (2016). *Organizational design: The rise of teams*. Deloitte University Press. Retrieved January 2, 2018, from <https://dupress.deloitte.com/dup-us-en/focus/human-capital-trends/2016/organizational-models-network-of-teams.html>
2. Mjelde, F. V., Smith, K., Lunde, P., & Espevik, R. (2016). Military teams—A demand for resilience. *Work*, 54(2), 283–294.

3. McChrystal, S. (2015). *Team of teams: New rules of engagement for a complex world*. New York, NY: Penguin.
4. Boni, A. A., Weingart, L. R., & Evenson, S. (2009). Innovation in an academic setting: Designing and leading a business through market-focused, interdisciplinary teams. *Academy of Management Learning and Education, 8*, 407–417.
5. Mathieu, J. E., Hollenbeck, J. R., van Knippenberg, D., & Ilgen, D. R. (2017). A century of work teams in the Journal of Applied Psychology. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 102*, 452–467.
6. D’Innocenzo, L., Mathieu, J. E., & Kukenberger, M. R. (2016). A meta-analysis of different forms of shared leadership–team performance relations. *Journal of Management, 42*, 1964–1991.
7. Aguinis, H., Gottfredson, R. K., & Joo, H. (2013). Avoiding a “me” versus “we” dilemma: Using performance management to turn teams into a source of competitive advantage. *Business Horizons, 56*, 503–512.
8. Hackman, J. R., & Wageman, R. (2005). A theory of team coaching. *Academy of Management Review, 30*, 269–287.
9. Scott, S. G., & Einstein, W. O. (2001). Strategic performance appraisal in team-based organizations: One size does not fit all. *Academy of Management Executive, 15*, 107–116.
10. O’Sullivan, A., & O’Sullivan, S. L. (2008). The performance challenges of expatriate supplier teams: A multi-firm case study. *International Journal of Human Resource Management, 19*, 999–1017.
11. One day, a computer will fit on a desk (1974). Retrieved January 2, 2018, from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sTdWQAKzESA>
12. Pathak, M. (2015). Managing virtual teams. *Human Capital, 19*(2), 52–53.
13. Bertisen, M. (2016). Employers need to step up tech and IT to attract Millennials. *Grocer, 22*. Retrieved January 2, 2018, from <https://www.thegrocer.co.uk/home/topics/technology-and-supply-chain/employers-need-to-step-up-tech-and-it-to-attract-millennials/536383.article>
14. McCafferty, D. (2016). Top challenges of managing a virtual team. *CIO Insight, 1*. Retrieved January 2, 2018, from <https://www.cioinsight.com/it-management/careers/slideshows/top-challenges-of-managing-a-virtual-team.html>
15. Hill, N. S., & Bartol, K. M. (2016). Empowering leadership and effective collaboration in geographically dispersed teams. *Personnel Psychology, 69*, 159–198.
16. Gilson, L. L., Maynard, M. T., Jones Young, N. C., Vartiainen, M., & Hakonen, M. (2015). Virtual teams research: 10 years, 10 themes, and 10 opportunities. *Journal of Management, 41*, 1313–1337.
17. Hyatt, J. (2006). The soul of a new team. *Fortune, 135*(11), 134–143.
18. Cheng, K. H. C., & Cascio, W. F. (2009). Performance-appraisal beliefs of Chinese employees in Hong Kong and the Pearl River Delta. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment, 17*, 329–333.
19. Salas, E., Burke, C. S., & Fowlkes, J. E. (2006). Measuring team performance “in the wild”: Challenges and tips. In W. Bennett, C. E. Lance, & D. J. Woehr (Eds.), *Performance measurement: Current perspectives and future challenges* (pp. 245–272). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
20. Pilette, P. C. (2017). Team charters: Mapping clearer communication. *Nursing Management, 48*(5), 52–55.
21. Brownlee, D. (2012). Team charter. *Leadership Excellence Essentials, 29*(10), 15.
22. Reilly, R. R., & McGourty, J. (1998). Performance appraisal in team settings. In J. W. Smither (Ed.), *Performance appraisal: State of the art in practice* (pp. 245–277). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
23. Rousseau, V., Aubé, C., & Savoie, A. (2006). Teamwork behaviors: A review and an integration of frameworks. *Small Group Research, 37*, 540–570.
24. London, M., & Sessa, V. I. (2007). How groups learn, continuously. *Human Resource Management, 46*, 651–669.
25. Duke University Health System. *Duke HR performance management process*. Retrieved January 2, 2018, from <https://hr.duke.edu/managers/performance-management/duhs/about-performance>
26. Connelly, B. L., Ketchen, D. J., Crook, T. R., Combs, J. G., & Aguinis, H. (2015). Competence- and integrity-based trust in interorganizational relationships: Which matters most? *Journal of Management, 44*(3), 919–945. doi:10.1177/0149206315596813

27. Ford, R. C., Piccolo, R. F., & Ford, L. R. (2017). Strategies for building effective virtual teams: Trust is key. *Business Horizons*, 60, 25–34.
28. Matthews, R., & McLees, J. (2015). Building effective projects teams and teamwork. *Journal of Information Technology & Economic Development*, 6(2), 20–30.
29. Chamorro-Premuzic, T. (2015). How to manage a team of B players. *Harvard Business Review Digital Articles*, 2–4.
30. Berta, D. (2004, June 28). Restaurant companies boost employee morale with contests, games. *Nation's Restaurant News*, 6. Retrieved January 2, 2018, from <http://www.nrn.com/>
31. Erez, A., Lepine, J. A., & Elms, H. (2002). Effects of rotated leadership and peer evaluation on the functioning of effectiveness of self-managed teams: A quasi-experiment. *Personnel Psychology*, 55, 929–948.
32. Marks, M. A., & Panzer, F. J. (2004). The influence of team monitoring on team processes and performance. *Human Performance*, 17, 25–41.
33. Breugst, N., Patzelt, H., Shepherd, D. A., & Aguinis, H. (2012). Relationship conflict improves team performance assessment accuracy: Evidence from a multilevel study. *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, 11, 187–206.
34. Taggar, S., & Brown, T. C. (2006). Interpersonal affect and peer rating bias in teams. *Small Group Research*, 37, 86–111.
35. Brumback, G. B. (2003). Blending “we/me” in performance management. *Team Performance Management: An International Journal*, 9, 167–173.
36. MacBryde, J., & Mendibil, K. (2003). Designing performance measurement systems for teams: Theory and practice. *Management Decision*, 41, 722–733.
37. Meyer, C. (1994). How the right measures help teams excel. *Harvard Business Review*, 72, 95–101.
38. Krumm, S., Kanthak, J., Hartmann, K., & Hertel, G. (2016). What does it take to be a virtual team player? The knowledge, skills, abilities, and other characteristics required in virtual teams. *Human Performance*, 29, 123–142.
39. Hyatt, J. (2006). The soul of a new team. *Fortune*, 135(11), 134–143.
40. van Vijeijken, H., Kleingeld, A., van Tuijl, H., Algera, J. A., & Thierry, H. (2006). Interdependence and fit in team performance management. *Personnel Review*, 35, 98–117.
41. Gallo, A. (2013). How to reward your stellar team. *Harvard Business Review*. Retrieved January 2, 2018, from <https://hbr.org/2013/08/how-to-reward-your-stellar-tea>
42. Super, J. F., Li, P., Ishqaidaf, G., & Guthrie, J. P. (2016). Group rewards, group composition and information sharing: A motivated information processing perspective. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 13, 431–444.
43. Garbers, Y., & Konradt, U. (2014). The effect of financial incentives on performance: A quantitative review of individual and team-based financial incentives. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 87, 102–137.
44. Beersma, B., Hollenbeck, J. R., Humphrey, S. E., Moon, H., Conlon, D. E., & Ilgen, D. R. (2003). Cooperation, competition, and team performance: Toward a contingency approach. *Academy of Management Journal*, 46, 572–590.

NAME AND COMPANY INDEX

A

AAH pharmaceuticals, 248
Accenture, 228, 337
 work-life focus, 305
Accounting, Inc., 220
Adobe Systems, 17, 136
AE&G (American Electric and Gas),
 366–367
Aerospace company, expatriate teams
 at, 347
Airbnb, SWOT and gap analysis at, 78
Altenergy LLC, contingency pay plan
 at, 337
American Electric and Gas (AE&G),
 366–367
Anderson, Gregory, 15
Apple
 team performance rewards, 360
 work-life focus, 305
Ard, Scott, 15
Asana, work-life focus, 305
Avon, 309

B

Bank of America, 5, 313
Bankers Life and Casualty, 89–90
Barton Healthcare Systems, in
 defamation, 323
Basecamp company, 115
BCG (Boston Consulting Group), 232
Becton, Dickinson, and Company, 261
Berube, Edward M., 89
Betty Crocker, 313
Big Quality Care Center (BQCC),
 218–219
Bisquick, 313
Bock, Laszlo, 315
Bose Corporation, 363–364
Boston Consulting Group (BCG), 232
BQCC (Big Quality Care Center),
 218–219
Brainstorm, Inc., 252–254
Brummel, Lisa E., 135
BT Global Services, 216

C

Canadian military
 using BO training, 210
 using FOR training, 209–210
Capgemini, 231
Car restoration business (CRB, Inc.), 36
Cargill, 136
Caterpillar, 214
CEB (Corporate Executive Board), 6
Chapman, Michael, 324–325
Chartered Institute of Personnel and
 Development, 304
Chrysler, 294
Clarke, Arthur C., 348
Cleverly, John E., 268
Coca-Cola company, 79–80
Colorado Business Group on
 Health, 304
Consumer Financial Protection
 Bureau, 311
Corporate Executive Board (CEB), 6
CRB, Inc. (Car restoration business), 36

D

Daily Planet, 192–193
Daimler-Benz, 294
DaimlerChrysler, 294
Dalton Alliances, Inc., 275
Dalton, Francie, 275
Damiano, Gwendolyn, 323
DDI (Development Dimensions
 International), 10
Dell computers, 74, 177–178
Dell, Michael, 177–178
Deloitte, 17, 344
 forced distribution system at, 134
Department of Transportation (DOT),
 147–148
Development Dimensions International
 (DDI), 10
Diageo, 237
Discover, performance planning
 at, 53
Disney Consumer Products & Studios

 accountabilities, objectives, and
 standards at, 149
 evaluating, 150
Dollar General, 114
DOT (Department of Transportation),
 147–148

E

Eaton, Robert, 294–295
Ed, 337
Ely Lilly, 228
Enron Corporation, 110, 315
Ernst & Young, 231
Expert Engineering, Inc., 193

F

Facebook, work-life focus, 305
Facetime, 348
Farr, 259
Federal Express (FedEx), 179
Ferrari, 363
Field, Tim, 278
Ford, 348
Fortune 500, 9
Freiberg, Charles, 322

G

Gadams, Robert, 324
GAP, Inc., 228
 performance management system at,
 155
 building support for, 92–93
 pilot testing at, 212
Garcia, James, 171
GE (General Electric), 16–17, 23, 57, 133,
 136, 262–263
 forced distribution system at, 133
 work-life focus, 305
General Electric (GE), 16–17, 23, 57, 133,
 136, 262–263
 forced distribution system at, 133
 work-life focus, 305
General Mills, 313

Google, 124, 136, 304
 big pay for big performance, 316
 performance assessment at, 56
 team performance rewards, 360
 work-life focus, 305

Graniterock, 320
 Green Giant, 313
 Greif, Inc., 81–82
 Gupta, Aarav, 332–335

H

Hallmark, 267
 Hamilton Standard Commercial
 Aircraft, 172
 Harley-Davidson, Inc., 83–84
 Henry's commercial sales and leasing,
 295–296
 Hewlett-Packard (HP), 124, 348
 HP (Hewlett-Packard), 124, 348

I

Iacocca, Lee, 293
 IBM (International Business Machines),
 13, 55, 77–78, 348
 work-life focus, 305
 Intermex, 180
 employee performance monitoring
 at, 181
 International Business Machines (IBM),
 13, 55, 77–78, 348
 work-life focus, 305

J

Jack, 337
 Jacobs, 259
 Johnsonville Foods, 174, 175
 Jones, Tom, 141
 Juniper networks, 154

K

Keith, Jeffrey, 110
 KeyBank
 mission statement, 85
 performance management and
 strategic plan at, 71–72
 vision statement, 85
 KLA-Tencor Corporation, 236–237
 Kraft Heinz company, 124
 KS Cleaners (KSC), 64–65
 KSC (KS Cleaners), 64–65

L

L Brands Inc., 115
 Lake Federal Bank, 318
 Levatich, Matthew S., 83–84

Lewis, Aylwin B., 7
 LinkedIn, 124, 316
 Lutz, Bob, 294

M

McChrystal, Stanley, 344
 McCoy Federal Credit Union, 175
 McDonald, 231, 311
 McDonald, Peter, 75
 McNealy, Scott, 305
 Mercer, global diversified consulting
 company, 22
 Merrill Lynch, 5, 145, 313
 Microsoft Corporation, 135, 228
 goals at, 127
 job description for performance
 solutions group manager at, 88
 mission statement of, 85–87
 vision statement of, 83
 Morris, Donna, 136
 Motorola, 231
 team performance rewards, 360
 MySQL, 350

N

NASA, 112–113
 Natease, 321
 Network Solutions, Inc., 34–35
 Nohria, Nitin, 110
 Norfolk State University (NSU), 86
 NSU (Norfolk State University), 86

O

Ollander-Kran, Rob, 155
 Omega, Inc., 63
 Owen, Robert, 24

P

P&G (Procter & Gamble), 95
 Packard, Bill, 124
 Patent Office, 245
 Pennington Performance Group, 13
 Pennington, Randy, 13
 PepsiCo
 performance management
 system, 12
 vision and mission statements at,
 evaluating, 94–95
 Pharma Co. Company
 differentiating task from contextual
 performance at, 119
 Phelps Dodge Mining Company, 360
 Porter, Michael E., 75
 Pricewaterhouse Coopers, 305
 Procter & Gamble (P&G), 95
 Profiles International, 239

R

Randi, W., 324
 Reese, Susan, 323
 Rehhagel, Otto, 356
 Rometty, Ginni, 77
 Rosted, Kasper, 8
 Ryder company, 180, 181

S

Saab, 347
 Safeway, in illegal discrimination, 325
 SAS Institute, 319
 Saturn, 347
 School of Business, 86
 Sears, 313
 uses performance management
 to focus on strategic business
 priorities, 7
 SELCO Credit Union, 9
 Shanda, 321
 Show Me the Money, 119–120
 Sieg, Andy, 145
 Siemens, 5
 Skype, 348
 Smith, Chloe, 332–335
 SOM architectural firm, 332
 Southwest Airlines, 75
 Spectrum Brands, 81
 Sprint/Nextel, 322
 task and contextual performance at, 107
 Sun Microsystems, 156
 and relational returns, 306
 work-life focus in, 305
 Synogy, Inc., 172

T

Takata, 311
 Target Corporation, training specialist/
 consultant—leadership & team
 development at
 accountabilities, 125–126
 job description of, 125
 objectives, 127–128
 standards, 129
 Three Ireland, 112
 Tom, 337
 Toto, Joseph, 261
 Tractors, Inc., 338
 Tumgo, 190–191
 Twain, Mark, 134

U

Ufida, 321
 United Airlines, 75
 University of Alberta, 304
 University of Lethbridge, 204, 205

W

Waldron, Hicks, 309
Washington State Patrol, 212, 215
WeinsTech, 332–335
Welch, Jack, 16, 57, 133, 262–263
Wells Fargo, 144, 310–313
Western Electric Co., 268
Whataburger, 357
Wheaties, 313
Wikimedia Foundation, 360

Wikipedia, 360
Willis Towers Watson Talent
Management, 16
WorldatWork, 16
WorldCom, 315

X

XCS (Xerox Capital Services), 130
Xerox Capital Services (XCS), 130

Xora company, 190–191

Y

Yahoo, 15, 136

Z

Zalando, 25
ZF TRW Automotive Holdings Corp.,
107–108